



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,546	10/30/2003	David W. Wynn	MCP-5015	7575
27777 7590 04/09/2007 PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			EXAMINER YOUNG, MICAH PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/697,546

Applicant(s)

WYNN ET AL.

Examiner

Micah-Paul Young

Art Unit

1618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/11/048/31/05,5/1/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment of Papers Received: Information Disclosure Statement dated 2/11/04, 8/31/05 and 5/1/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-3,5,6,19,23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shah et al (USPN 6,126,969 hereafter '969). The claims are drawn to a pharmaceutical dosage form comprising an immediate release portion and an extended release portion.

3. The '969 patent teaches a dosage form comprising an immediate release portion and an extended releasing portion (abstract). The dosage form comprises sweeteners and other excipients (col. 7, lin. 15-30). The extended release portion comprises coated core particles where the coating comprises an enteric polymer (col. 5, lin. 15-20; examples). The active agents include various well-known drugs including acetaminophen (tables). The acetaminophen is present in each phase in a concentration of approximately 41.5 % (table 2). Another embodiment of the invention has the coated particles in a concentration of approximately 20.79% (table 1). Regarding the therapeutic effect of the dosage form, it is the position of the Examiner that such limitations are inherent features of the composition. Since the '969 patent discloses the same structural components of the instant claims, it is the position of the Examiner that the

Art Unit: 1618

release profile/therapeutic effectiveness of the '969 patent would inherently meet the limitations of the instant claims. For these reasons, these disclosures render the claims anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1,4,7-18,20-22 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined disclosures of Shah et al (USPN 6,126,969 hereafter '969) in view of Bourke et al (USPN 5,637,320 hereafter '320). The claims are drawn to a liquid dosage from comprising in immediate release portion and an extended release portion, where the extended release portion is suspended in the immediate release portion. The extended release portion comprises a coating comprises a combination of polymers including enteric polymers.

6. As discussed above the '969 patent discloses a dosage from comprising both an immediate release and extended release portion. The extended release portion comprises a coating of enteric polymers, and is suggestive of a combination of polymers, but is silent to the specific polymer combinations and ratios of the instant claims. These combination and ratios however are well within the level of skill in the art to obtain given the suggestion of the art, as shown in the '320 patent.

7. The '320 patent discloses an extended release naproxen (a common pain reliever) formulation comprises a coating comprising a combination of polymers (abstract). The polymers include Eudragit brand enteric polymers along with cellulose acetate (col. 5, lin. 50-60). The

Art Unit: 1618

polymers are present in a ratio from 1:2 to 20:1 of water insoluble polymers to enteric polymers (*Ibid.*). The formulation has a release that lasts up to 24 hours (figure 1). The artisan would be motivated to include the extended release particles of the '320 into the formulation of the '969 in order to provide an improved pain relief regimen.

8. Regarding the liquid suspension limitation, the '969 patent is suggestive that the formulation can be dispersed in water in order to form a suspension (col. 4, lin. 15-17). The reference is however is not explicit about the exact structure of the liquid suspension, it is the position of the Examiner that the concentrations would be similar to those of the controlled release formulation. It is the position of the Examiner that these concentrations represent an optimization of ranges and are not inventive barring a showing of unexpected results.

9. With these things in mind it is the position of the Examiner that one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to combine the extended release coated particles of the '320 patent into the combination release formulation of the '969 in order to improve and extend the pain relieving properties of the formulation. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to prepare a suspension as suggested by the '969 patent in order to provide relief to patient with difficulty swallowing. It would have been obvious to combine the teachings and suggestions of the art with an expected result of a sustained release pain relief formulation along with a method of treating pain over a long period of time.

Correspondence

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Micah-Paul Young whose telephone number is 571-272-0608.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30 every other Monday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on 571-272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


MP Young

Micah-Paul Young
Examiner
Art Unit 1618


MICHAEL G. HARTLEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER